Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 1 (Currently amended) A method for maintaining, retrieving and evaluating

information related to a potential business transaction between parties in different

jurisdictions using electronic versions of rules from such jurisdictions that apply to the

transaction comprising the steps of:

registering for access to the electronic versions of rules from jurisdictions and the

search and retrieved mechanisms that will respond to a submitted query;

submitting a query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the

query containing information about a the type of transaction, a the product involved in

the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction;

retrieving jurisdictional rules from the database that are related the specified

product, transaction type and jurisdiction;

formulating a response based on the retrieved information, said response

containing general and specific jurisdictional rules that apply to the transaction and

specific requirements for the transaction said response further containing a profile of the

potential transaction described in the submitted query, said profile including information

on product type restrictions, product tariffs and product quantity limits; and

sending the response to the entity submitting the query.

Claim 2 (Canceled)

Claim 3 (Canceled)

Claim 4 (Original). The method as described in claim 1 further comprising after said

response sending step, the steps of:

retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; evaluating the

query response;

determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer;

reformulating the original query, when the determination is that the response is not

satisfactory; and

resubmitting the reformulated query to a database that stores the electronic

versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the

product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 5 (Original) The method as described in claim 1 further comprising after said

response sending step, the steps of: retrieving the formulated query response at the

customer location; evaluating the query response; determining whether the query

response is satisfactory to the customer; and when a new query is desired, submitting a

new query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query

containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the

transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 6 (Currently Amended) The method as described in claim 1 3 further comprising

the step of establishing a connection between a customer and the server and associated

database containing the electronic version of the rules, regulations and laws from a

jurisdiction.

Claim 7 (Original) The method as described in claim 6 further comprising the step of

determining whether a customer is registered to access the server and database and

accepting the customer submitted query when the customer is registered to access the

server and database.

Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 8 (Currently Amended) The method as described in claim 7 further comprising the steps of: processing the submitted query and identifying the specific request in the query; determining whether the query contains sufficient information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and sending the request for more information to the customer, if the determination is that there is not enough information to respond to the request in the query.

Claim 9 (Currently Amended). The method as described in claim 7 further comprising the steps of: processing the submitted query and identifying the specific request in the query; determining whether the query contains sufficient information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and generating a response based on the retrieved information, said response containing general and specific jurisdictional rules that apply to the transaction and specific requirements for the transaction; retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; evaluating the query response; determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer; and when a new query is desired, submitting a new query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 10 (Original) The method as described in claim 7 further comprising the steps of: processing the submitted query and identifying the specific request in the query; determining whether the query contains sufficient information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and generating a response based on the retrieved information, said response containing general and specific jurisdictional rules that apply to the transaction and specific requirements for the transaction; retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; evaluating the query response; determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer; reformulating the original query, when the determination is that the response is not satisfactory; and resubmitting the reformulated query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 11 (Currently Amended) A method for evaluating a potential business transaction between parties in different jurisdictions using electronic versions of rules from such jurisdictions that apply to the potential transaction comprising the steps of:

retrieving a submitted query, the query containing information about \underline{a} the type of transaction, \underline{a} the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction;

identifying transaction type, product type and jurisdiction information contained in the query;

retrieving information from a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules;

generating a profile of a potential business transaction based on the information and rules retrieved from the database that specifically apply to the potential transaction; and

sending the generated transaction profile to the entity submitting the query.

Claim 12 (Original) The method as described in claim 11 further comprising the step of storing said generated transaction profile in a knowledge base section of the database.

Claim 13 (Original) The method as described in claim 12 wherein said stored transaction

profile is arranged in the database according to the jurisdiction and product type.

Claim 14 (Original) The method as described in claim 13 further comprising the step of

generating a general transaction profile for a particular country and product, said general

transaction profile being stored in the database and retrieved when a query contains the

jurisdiction and product type listed in the profile.

Claim 15 (Original) The method as described in claim 14 said general profile generation

step comprises submitting transaction data to a profile generating mechanism, said data

comprises a product, a product quantity for the transaction and an export jurisdiction and

an import jurisdiction.

Claim 16 (Original) The method as described in claim 15 wherein the submitted data is

from transaction profiles stored in the database.

Claim 17 (Original) The method as described in claim 15 wherein the submitted data is

arbitrarily generated in the database.

Claim 18 (Original) The method as described in claim 14 further comprising the step of

retrieving a general transaction profile in response to a submitted transaction query

comprising the steps of: identifying the product that is involved in the transaction;

identifying the exporting and importing jurisdictions; searching the database for a profile

having the identified product, exporting jurisdiction and importing jurisdiction; and

returning a general transaction profile that matches the identified product, exporting

jurisdiction and importing jurisdiction in response to the submitted query.

Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 19 (Original) The method as described in claim 14 further comprising the step of retrieving a general transaction profile in response to a submitted transaction query comprising the steps of: identifying the product that is involved in the transaction; identifying the exporting and importing jurisdictions; searching the database for a profile having the identified product, exporting jurisdiction and importing jurisdiction; and

generating a transaction profile based on the submitted query.

Claim 20 (Canceled)

Claim 21 (Canceled)

Claim 22 (Canceled)

Claim 23 (Canceled)

Claim 24 (Canceled)

Claim 25 (Canceled)

Claim 26 (Currently Amended). A computer program product in a computer readable storage medium for maintaining, retrieving and evaluating information related to a potential business transaction between parties in different jurisdictions using electronic

versions of rules from such jurisdictions that apply to the transaction comprising:

instructions for registering for access to the electronic versions of rules from jurisdictions and the search and retrieval mechanisms that will respond to a submitted

query;

instructions for submitting a query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction;

instructions for retrieving rules from the database that are related the specified product, transaction type and jurisdiction;

instructions for formulating a response based on the retrieved information, said response containing general and specific rules that apply to the transaction and specific requirements for the transaction; and

instructions for sending the response to the entity submitting the query.

Claim 27 (Canceled)

Claim 28 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 26 further comprising after said response sending instructions: instructions for retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; instructions for evaluating the query response; instructions for determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer; instructions for reformulating the original query, when the determination is that the response is not satisfactory; and instructions for resubmitting the reformulated query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the jurisdictional rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 29 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 26 further

comprising after said response sending step, the steps of: instructions for retrieving the

formulated query response at the customer location; instructions for evaluating the query

response; instructions for determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the

customer; and when a new query is desired, instructions for submitting a new query to a

database that stores the electronic versions of the jurisdictional rules, the query

containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the

transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 30 (Currently Amended) The computer program product as described in claim 26

27 further comprising instructions for establishing a connection between a customer and

the server and associated database containing the electronic version of the rules,

regulations and laws from a jurisdiction.

Claim 31 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 30 further

comprising instructions for determining whether a customer is registered to access the

server and database and accepting the customer submitted query when the customer is

registered to access the server and database.

Claim 32 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 31 further

comprising: instructions for processing the submitted query and identifying the specific

request in the query; instructions for determining whether the query contains sufficient

information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and

instructions for sending the request for more information to the customer, if the

determination is that there is not enough information to respond to the request in the

query.

Appl. No. 09/963,713 Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 33 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 31 further comprising: instructions for processing the submitted query and identifying the specific request in the query; instructions for determining whether the query contains sufficient information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and instructions for generating a response based on the retrieved information, said response containing general and specific jurisdictional rules that apply to the transaction and specific requirements for the transaction; instructions for retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; instructions for evaluating the query response; instructions for determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer; and when a new query is desired, instructions for submitting a new query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 34 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 32 further comprising: instructions for processing the submitted query and identifying the specific request in the query; instructions for determining whether the query contains sufficient information to enable the generation of a response of a response to the query; and instructions for generating a response based on the retrieved information, said response containing general and specific jurisdictional rules that apply to the transaction and specific requirements for the transaction; instructions for retrieving the formulated query response at the customer location; instructions for evaluating the query response; instructions for determining whether the query response is satisfactory to the customer; instructions for reformulating the original query, when the determination is that the response is not satisfactory; and instructions for resubmitting the reformulated query to a database that stores the electronic versions of the rules, the query containing information about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions involved in the transaction.

Claim 35 (Currently Amended) A computer program product in a computer readable storage medium for evaluating a potential business transaction between parties in

different jurisdictions using electronic versions of rules from such jurisdictions that apply

to the potential transaction comprising:

instructions for retrieving a submitted query, the query containing information

about the type of transaction, the product involved in the transaction and the jurisdictions

involved in the transaction;

instructions for identifying transaction type, product type and jurisdiction

information contained in the query;

instructions for retrieving information from a database that stores the electronic

versions of the rules;

instructions for generating a profile of a potential business transaction based on

the information and rules retrieved from the database that specifically apply to the

potential transaction; and

instructions for sending the generated transaction profile to the entity submitting

the query.

Claim 36 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 35 further

comprising instructions for storing said generated transaction profile in a knowledge base

section of the database.

Claim 37 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 36 wherein said

stored transaction profile is arranged in the database according to the jurisdiction and

product type.

Claim 38 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 37 further

comprising the step of generating a general transaction profile for a particular country

and product, said general transaction profile being stored in the database and retrieved

when a query contains the jurisdiction and product type listed in the profile.

Amdt. date: April 14, 2006

Reply to Office action of December 14, 2005

Claim 39 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 38 wherein said

general profile generation instruction comprises instructions for submitting transaction

data to a profile generating mechanism, said data comprises a product, a product quantity

for the transaction and an export jurisdiction and an import jurisdiction.

Claim 40 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 38 further

comprising the step of retrieving a general transaction profile in response to a submitted

transaction query comprising: instructions for identifying the product that is involved in

the transaction; instructions for identifying the exporting and importing jurisdictions;

instructions for searching the database for a profile having the identified product,

exporting jurisdiction and importing jurisdiction; and instructions for returning a general

transaction profile that matches the identified product, exporting jurisdiction and

importing jurisdiction in response to the submitted query.

Claim 41 (Original) The computer program product as described in claim 38 further

comprising the step of retrieving a general transaction profile in response to a submitted

transaction query comprising the steps of: instructions for identifying the product that is

involved in the transaction; instructions for identifying the exporting and importing

jurisdictions; instructions for searching the database for a profile having the identified

product, exporting jurisdiction and importing jurisdiction; and instructions for generating

a transaction profile based on the submitted query.

Claim 42 (Original) The system as described in claim 20 wherein the connection and

communication between the elements in said system can be wireless communication.

Claim 43 (Original) The method as described in claim 1 wherein said database query is

submitted to the database over a wireless connection.